

BURGHOUND.COM®
THE ULTIMATE BURGUNDY REFERENCE

In This Issue – 193 Producers and 1270 Wines Reviewed

2011 Côte d'Or Whites (plus more 2010s) . . . . . 3 - 156
More Current Release California and Oregon Pinot Noirs . . . . . 157 - 165
En Plus – Current Release Champagne and Sparklers . . . . . 165 - 172
Progress Report – Ontario, Canada Pinots, Chards and Sparklers . . . . . 173 - 189

Statement of Principles

It is important that readers understand how I collect and evaluate the information that is reported in the pages of Burghound.com (the tasting notes and information are the sole responsibility of the author).

- I am personally responsible for all of my business expenses without exception. This includes airfare, hotels and effectively all of my meals. The purpose is as clear as it is simple: No conflicts of interest. I do not accept nor do I seek any subsidy, in any form, from anybody.
Sample bottles are accepted for evaluation and commentary, much as book reviewers accept advance copies of new releases. I insist, however, that these sample bottles represent the final wines to be sold under that particular label.
Finished, bottled wines are assigned scores as these wines are market-ready. Wines tasted from barrel, however, are scored within a range. This reflects the reality that a wine tasted from barrel is not a finished product.
Wines are evaluated within the context of their appellations. Simply put, that means I expect a grand cru Burgundy to reflect its exalted status.

While the concept of terroir remains a controversial issue in the opinion of many people, it is not controversial to the Burgundian mindset—or to me, either. I attempt to convey, where appropriate, how certain wines are particularly good, or particularly bad, at expressing their underlying terroir.

This is admittedly a difficult thing, rightly open to discussion among the Burgundians themselves, never mind an outside observer such as myself. Nevertheless, it is fundamental to great Burgundy. Mere "hedonism" is just that: empty pleasure seeking. Burgundy can deliver so much more—if it is asked.

Copyright © 2013 by Burghound.com; published quarterly. Access via subscription only. Subscription information at www.burghound.com. All rights reserved and unauthorized reproduction, redistribution, retransmission or commercial exploitation of Burghound.com content, including the database, is strictly prohibited without the express written consent of Burghound.com. Integration or access to content using computer integration (Web Crawlers, Spiders, Robots, etc.) designed to automatically integrate/download the information in the database is strictly prohibited. Subscriptions are only for the individual subscriber and user names/ passwords/content are not to be shared. Discounted corporate accounts are available for firms requiring multi-users. Active subscribers in the news media and wine trade may use limited wine reviews provided that Burghound.com is properly credited. Retailers are responsible for ensuring the quoted information is correct and as printed in Burghound.com. Reproduction of vintage reports, narratives and progress reports is expressly forbidden. "Limited wine reviews" is defined as the reproduction of Burghound.com's entire wine review and score, and is anticipated to be fewer than 100 reviews at any one time by a retailer or individual in the wine trade. Licensing fees are due Burghound.com should an entity use in excess of 100 wines at any given time, as determined and agreed between the parties. Upon determination of violation of any of these conditions, subscription will be immediately terminated without any refund of the subscription fee. See full Terms and Conditions.



## A Brief Word about Scores:

Numerical scores are endlessly debated among wine lovers. Inevitably, critics tend to emphasize certain characteristics at the expense of others. What follows is an explication of scores at **Burghound.com** and the underlying taste values they reflect.

Simply put, Burgundies that emphasize purity, elegance, overall balance and a clear expression of the underlying *terroir* are rated more highly than Burgundies that don't deliver these qualities. Other important characteristics include typicity, richness, balanced extraction, length and harmony. For example, a Volnay should taste like a Volnay and a *grand cru* should deliver a *grand cru* drinking experience.

The score is a summation of the taster's thoughts about a wine. It does not actually express those thoughts. Clearly, a mere number cannot fully represent the nuanced, detailed impression conveyed by a tasting note. Wines are scored based on their expected quality at peak drinkability. Many *grands crus* that will, I believe, "be" a 92 may not necessarily taste like a 92-point wine when young, thanks to the prominent tannins or general inaccessibility.

Wines rated 90 points or above are worth a special effort to find and cellar. Wines rated 85 or above are recommended, **especially among regional and villages level wines**. There will be relatively few 90+ point wines, simply because there are relatively few outstanding and superlative wines. Finished, bottled wines are assigned specific scores as these wines are market-ready. Wines tasted from barrel are scored within a range. This reflects the reality that a wine tasted from barrel is not a finished, market-ready product.

**Important Note:** Every wine formally presented by a grower or distributor for evaluation is reviewed, period, no exceptions. Thus no Burghound.com reader need question whether I have chosen not to comment on a given wine because it was flawed or substandard. In short, if a wine is presented for review, it appears here.

95 – 100:	<b>Truly incomparable and emotionally thrilling.</b> A wine so rated is as good as Burgundy and Pinot Noir gets. By definition, it is reference standard for its <i>appellation</i> .
90 – 94:	<b>Outstanding.</b> Worth a special effort to purchase and cellar and will provide memorable drinking experiences.
85 – 89:	<b>Good to High quality.</b> Burgundies that offer solid quality in every respect and generally very good typicity. "Good Value" wines will often fall into this category. Worth your attention.
80 – 84:	<b>Average to Good quality.</b> The wine is "correct", displays no noticeable flaws and will provide pleasing, if straightforward, drinking.
76 – 79:	<b>Barely Acceptable quality.</b> The wine is not worth your attention nor is it a good value.
75 and Below:	<b>Don't Bother.</b> A wine with noticeable, irremediable flaws.

## Estimated Maturities:

Estimating when any given Burgundy will be at its peak is an extremely difficult thing to do with precision. The time frames that you see after the score is my best estimate as to when any given wine will likely be at its best. This is of course simply an educated guess about how the wine will evolve and assumes that the wine will have been responsibly shipped and stored, which as long-time collectors know is not always the case. Just as importantly, the suggested windows are based on how I personally prefer my Burgundies. This effectively means that for reds, there is still obvious freshness and vibrancy remaining to both the fruit and the flavors and while the tannic structure will be largely resolved, it by no means suggests that a completely smooth palate will exist devoid of any firmness. Especially tannic and or concentrated wines will necessarily have wider windows for obvious reasons. For whites, the windows are designed to indicate that point at which youthful fruit has passed into secondary nuances with more fully developed complexity as well as when the textures have rounded out and the sometimes pointed acidity has mellowed. What the estimated maturities do NOT suggest is how long a wine will remain structurally sound and still capable of providing some enjoyment as many Burgundies are capable of remarkably long periods of graceful decline; however, beyond a certain point they will have passed their peaks and should be drunk, no matter how intellectually interesting they may be. As with anything this subjective, there is no substitute for your own experience and I offer these estimated maturities as a general guideline, not gospel and as the saying goes, your mileage may vary.

**Domaine Fougeray de Beauclair (Marsannay-la-Côte)**

2011	»» Fixin "Clos Marion"	white	89
2011	Marsannay – St. Jacques	white	87
2011	Savigny-lès-Beaune "Golardes"	white	(87-89)

**2011 Marsannay – St. Jacques:** (from pinot blanc). A pretty and fresh nose features notes of pear, white peach and green tea. There is good energy and detail to the delicious, round and easy-to-like flavors that offer a crisp, clean and moderately dry finish. This would be a perfect choice for picnics or as an aperitif. 87/now

**2011 Savigny-lès-Beaune "Golardes":** There is enough reduction to notice though the nose appears to have sauvignon blanc-like nuances to it. There is fine detail and a lovely sense of underlying tension to the medium-bodied flavors that culminate in a bone dry, clean and linear finish. This delicious citrus-infused effort is really quite good and it should drink well young. (87-89)/2014+

**2011 Fixin "Clos Marion":** (from young vines). This is also mildly reduced though the underlying fruit appears ripe. There is a lovely restraint to the lightly mineral-inflected middle weight flavors that possess plenty of punch and intensity on the linear and slightly austere finish that delivers both very fine depth and persistence. This is lovely and worth a look. 89/2014+